



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,273	08/19/2003	Jeremy John Carroll	B-5212.621174-8	5180

7590 07/31/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		EXAMINER WASHBURN, DANIEL C
ART UNIT 2628	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL DATE 07/31/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/644,273	Applicant(s) CARROLL, JEREMY JOHN	
	Examiner Dan Washburn	Art Unit 2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dan Washburn. (3)_____.

(2) Richard Berg. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 25 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.


Identification of prior art discussed: none.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 ULKA CHAUHAN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Berg contacted Examiner Washburn to discuss the potential improper finality of the last rejection issued based on the fact that claim 22 had been rejected for the first time in the last action. Examiner Washburn pointed Attorney Berg to MPEP 821.04 fifth paragraph, which describes that an examiner can make a rejection final even when rejecting claims for the first time if the rejoinder of the newly rejected claims is necessitated by an amendment made by the applicant. In this case the applicant has added a linking claim which required rejoinder of the elected and non-elected claims. Attorney Berg also discussed that the original restriction requirement was improper. Examiner Washburn reviewed the original restriction requirement and described that he felt it was proper, but discussed that Attorney Berg could petition against this position if he felt it would be necessary. Attorney Berg said that he would contact his client and respond based on his client's wishes.